

United States Patent and Trademark Office

a

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/774,338	02/06/2004	Adrian Berthold	1890-0053	5277
Maginot, Moore & Beck Suite 3000 Bank One Tower 111 Monument Circle Indianapolis, IN 46204			EXAMINER	
			LUHRS, MICHAEL K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2824	
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/774,338	BERTHOLD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael K. Luhrs	2824				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Fe</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original sheet and the correction of	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO.413)				
2) Notice of Neterletices Cited (FTO-032) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/774,338

Art Unit: 2824

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, isolation layer sequence, Fig. 14, layers 21a & 21b (first isolation having two layers; '21b' is used as etch stop when second isolation layer), '22' (is added), 23a & 23b are ARC layers, are all masked with photoresist '24' as shown in Fig. 14. (lines 14-32, p. 16).

Species II, single isolation layer, (alternative, see specification, lines 34-35, p. 16). This is a single isolation as a mask layer, therefore the specification suggests that: layers 21a, 21b, 22, 23a, 23b, 24 can all be replaced with a single mask. There is no representative Figure for this alternative.

- 2. The Examiner notes that the PCT/EP 02/07313 "Preliminary examination "search" report, reports difficulty in distinguishing claim 1 steps a and b masking to be used in step c.
- In any event, the examiner notes that applicant's claim 1, step (d) isolation spacing layers to be entirely different than the common depositing of the at least one isolation layer in step b) of claim 15. Therefore since the applicant must elect the Species and indicate claims drawn to the species elected, and since there are no claims as presented now, that read properly on either species, the examiner points out the following:
- 4. The simultaneous generation of the isolation layers in claim 1, step d), is in fact **not** simultaneous with the mask layer of step c) in claim 1. (Layers 26, and 30, 31 are not simultaneous with the sequence of isolation layers 21a, 21b, 22, 23a, 23b, 24. (Layers 26, and 30, 31 in Figures 19-23 not simultaneous with isolation layers 21a, 21b, 22, 23a, 23b, 24 of Fig. 14.
- In summary, (1) the applicant is requested to elect on the species of a single mask or sequence of masking layers, (2) the examiner notes previous difficulty in understanding the claims, (3) the examiner notes a 35 USC 112 issue for enablement of *simultaneous generating* of claim 1 step c.
- Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is **no** generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,338 Page 3

Art Unit: 2824

7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 10. A telephone call was made to Attorney Wood on 11/18/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael K. Luhrs whose telephone number is 571-272-1874. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-5.
- 14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard T. Elms can be reached on 571-272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,338 Page 4

Art Unit: 2824

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael K. Luhrs

11/18/04

Olik Chardhan

Supervisory Pedant Cheminas Technology Center 2000